

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE 10/667,780 09/23/2003 Yann Zimerfeld 9553 10/21/2004 **EXAMINER** Yann Zimerfeld KAVANAUGH, JOHN T 105 CRESCENT DR. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER GLENVIEW, IL 60025 3728

DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/1//
Office Action Summary	10/667,780	ZIMERFELD, YANN	y v c
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ted Kavanaugh	3728	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addr	ess
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this community  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	•	•
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina	er		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		v the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• = •	•	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
		440( ) ( ) ( )	
<ul><li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	·
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Ap	plication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been r	eceived in this National St	age
application from the International Burea	iu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment(s)	,, <b>—</b>	/DTC 140	
1) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Su Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Art Unit: 3728

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because of the phraseology used. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The abstract could be as follows:

An air-conditioning system with a system of pumps and valves used to eliminate odor, as well as decrease the temperature inside the shoes when necessary. This design also regulates the temperature inside the shoe depending on the weather conditions.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 1-3 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly

Art Unit: 3728

and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1-3, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102((a) and (e)) as being anticipated by US 6594917 (Ricco' et al).

Art Unit: 3728

Ricco' teaches a shoe with a controlled interior climate (air-conditioning device 2) having a air pump system for controlling the temperature in the shoe (see Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention) and other structures (see technical field description).

6. Claims 1-3, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 3273264 (Farinello, Jr.).

Farinello teaches a shoe with a controlled interior climate (air-conditioning shoe having a air pump system (motor 32 and fan 30) for controlling the temperature in the shoe.

### Conclusion

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

Telephone inquiries regarding other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners" M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at <u>(703) 872-9306</u> (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Please identify Examiner <u>Ted Kavanaugh</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet.

Art Unit: 3728

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Ted Kavanaugh whose telephone number is (703) 308-1244. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM. Starting November 8<sup>th</sup>, 2004 the examiner's telephone number will change to (571) 272-4556.

Ted Kavanaugh-Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

TK October 20, 2004